

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 21 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Plow)	Art Unit: 2132
)	
Serial No.: 09/922,196)	Examiner: Ungar
)	
Filed: August 2, 2001)	SVL920000036US1
)	
For: SYSTEM, METHOD, AND COMPUTER)	June 21, 2005
PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR AUTOMATICALLY)	750 B STREET, Suite 3120
INPUTTING USER DATA INTO INTERNET)	San Diego, CA 92101
BASED ELECTRONIC FORMS)	

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTIONCommissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

This responds to the Office Action dated May 24, 2005, rejecting Claims 1-4, 9-12, 14, 17-19, 21, and 24-26 under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Pennell et al., USPP 2002/0013788, and rejecting Claims 5-8, 13, 15, 16, 20, 22, 23, and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Pennell et al. in view of Kikinis, USPN 5,794,259.

It appears that a subtle yet important difference exists between Pennell et al. and, e.g., Claim 1. In Claim 1, "the form" is the data structure received from the server. User information that is stored in memory is automatically input to this form, i.e., the form received from the server. This user information is updated in response to the user manually inputting data to the form, i.e., the form received from the server.

In contrast, the window 401 that is part of the user computer of Pennell et al. is what is used for autofilling the underlying form 100 from the server, paragraph 27. The window 401 itself may be

11764.AM1

CASE NO.: SVL920000036US1

Serial No.: 09/922,196

June 21, 2005

Page 2

PATENT


Filed: August 2, 2001

automatically filled or manually filled by the user, id., but regardless, in terms of Claim 1, the window 401 is the thing that is used to automatically fill in the form from the server. It is not the server form 100 itself.

With this in mind, while it is true as the examiner observes that paragraph 32 of Pennell et al. teaches updating user information, note that it is the information in the window 401 that is stored in the database 304 with time stamp information, so that the most current user information can be kept. Nowhere in the sections of Pennell et al. that have been relied upon in the rejections is manual user data input to the server form 100 itself discussed, much less that direct user manual input to the server form 100, as opposed to the autofill window 401, is used for updating user information. Accordingly, should a user find it necessary to enter information directly into the server form 100 in Pennell et al., unlike Claim 1 the entered data would not be used to update the user information. For similar reasons, it appears that the other independent claims are also patentable.

The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (619) 338-8075 for any reason which would advance the instant application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



John L. Rogitz
Registration No. 33,549
Attorney of Record
750 B Street, Suite 3120
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg

11764.AM1